

Discussion of Bruno-Marino

Mark Flannery
University of Florida

Università Bocconi - Centro Baffi-Carefin

June 28, 2022

- I received two documents as the basis for my discussion today.
 - “Size and Profitability in European commercial banks” by Brunella Bruno and Imma Marino, sponsored by Banca Passadore. Short English summary.
 - “A discussion of regulatory burdens for small vs large banks”, by Rainer Maserà and Marco Onado.
- Maserà and Onado argue
 - Small banks provide different services from large banks and are better for community development.
 - But they are threatened by over-regulation.
- Bruno and Marino study whether smaller banks can compete effectively with larger ones.

The two papers are complementary

Can small banks survive ... ?

Market Competition	(No)	(Yes)
Over-Regulation	(No)	(No)
Net Effect?	(-) but who cares?	Ambiguous: don't let over-regulation kill a good thing!

The two papers are complementary

Can small banks survive ... ?

Market Competition	(No)	(Yes)
Over-Regulation	(No)	(No)
Net Effect?	(-) but who cares?	Ambiguous: don't let over-regulation kill a good thing!

Bruno-Marino



Bruno-Marino Empirical Work (Tables 2 and 3)

- All banking firms in Italy, 2000-2019, except those below €500 mn.
- VERY IMPORTANT: “The final sample is composed of banks homogeneous by type of activity (commercial banking being their core business), but heterogeneous in terms of size.” (page 1)
- What determines whether a bank’s ROA is in the top half of all banks?
 - Not Size.
 - “Loan **portfolio quality** and **operating efficiency** are ... more relevant to explain performance gap, and this independently on bank size.” (page 2)
 - An interesting aside: Capital (Tier I) tends to increase the probability of a bank being “top performer”.

Evaluation

- Are the sample banks truly homogeneous banks, except for size?
- The sample includes more Savings and Cooperative institutions than Commercial Banks.
- Do these non-commercial banks differ from commercial banks in terms of
 - Product mix?
 - Lending based on hard vs. soft information?
 - Incentives?

Evaluation

- The sample includes far more Savings and Cooperative institutions than Commercial Banks.
- Do these non-commercial banks differ from commercial banks in terms of
 - Product mix?
 - Lending based on hard vs. soft information?
 - Incentives?

Specialisation/

Ownership structure

Cooperative **50.01%**

Savings **38.97%**

-0.083

(3.22)***

-0.293

(10.13)***

-0.007

(0.25)

-0.222

(7.71)***

0.074

(2.56)**

-0.150

(4.96)***

- Suggest further investigation about the effects of these sample characteristics. Are we comparing small savings banks to large commercial banks?

Evaluation



- The sample includes far more Savings and Cooperative institutions than Commercial Banks.
- Do these non-commercial banks differ from commercial banks in terms of
 - Product mix?
 - Lending based on hard vs. soft information?
 - Incentives?

Specialisation/
Ownership structure

Cooperative **50.01%**

Savings **38.97%**

Which effect is correct?

		
	<p>-0.083 (3.22)***</p>	<p>-0.007 (0.25)</p>
	<p>-0.293 (10.13)***</p>	<p>-0.222 (7.71)***</p>
		<p>0.074 (2.56)**</p>
		<p>-0.150 (4.96)***</p>

- Are we comparing small savings banks to large commercial banks?
- Suggest further investigation about the effects of these sample characteristics.

Evaluation

- The sample includes far more Savings and Cooperative institutions than Commercial Banks.
- Do these non-commercial banks differ from commercial banks in terms of
 - Product mix?
 - Lending based on hard vs. soft information?
 - Incentives?

Specialisation/
Ownership structure

Cooperative **50.01%**

Savings **38.97%**

Which effect is correct?

-0.083 (3.22)***	-0.007 (0.25)	0.074 (2.56)**
-0.293 (10.13)***	-0.222 (7.71)***	-0.150 (4.96)***

- Are we comparing small savings banks to large commercial banks?
- Suggest further investigation about the effects of these sample characteristics.

A more conceptual question

- Profits are scaled by book valued equity or assets.
- But we know that market and book values differ: many European banks trade with a market-to-book ratio well below 1.0.
- Suppose larger banks have larger un-booked losses than smaller institutions do:
 - The ability to earn net income is driven by a firm's market value.
 - For the same net income, using book equity makes the larger banks look less profitable in terms of {Income/Assets} or {Income/Equity}.
 - → large banks in this sample MIGHT perform better than small ones.
- Suggest looking into the same profitability measures with equity market values, for the banks that are traded.

U.S. Evidence that small banks are different

- Overall relationship value, from Andres Shahidinejad 2022:

“This paper develops empirical evidence that Credit Unions charge lower interest rates on mortgages and their members achieve better outcomes than if they had originated with a comparably-sized bank.”
- Small banks are important sources of loans to small businesses (SMEs)
 - Following a merger,
 - The acquired bank cuts back SME lending
 - New banks enter, to make up for the large banks cut-back.
 - More broadly, large US banks have withdrawn from the SME loan market since 2009.
 - Hard vs. Soft info technology for such lending
 - Fintech won't compete with small banks' "soft info" lending models. So small bank lending will continue to differ from the large banks' loan processes.

Regulation of small US banks today

- Today, smaller banks have a lighter regulatory burden on account of Dodd Frank (2009) and Economic Growth, Regulatory Relief and Consumer Protection Act of 2018. Banks under \$10 bn now have NO
 - stress tests
 - living wills
 - formal capital adequacy plans
 - bail-in debt
 - buffer capital
 - countercyclical capital buffer.

- Lighter reporting burden for banks with assets < \$5 bn. The new quarterly Call Report (**FFIEC 051**) is still substantial, but it includes
 - Fewer required data items
 - Less detail
 - First and third quarters permit more aggregated reporting of some items.
- Deposit insurance pricing
 - Dodd Frank Act (2010) changed the insurance “base” from domestic deposits to total liabilities. This shifted insurance premia toward larger banks and away from smaller banks)
 - The FDIC has instituted two different sets of risk measures for smaller vs. Larger banks.

Small banks' capital adequacy standard

- Simplified standard of capital adequacy: Tier 1 capital / total assets > 9%
 - For banks with assets < \$10 bn
 - Off balance sheet (OBS) < 25% of total assets
 - Trading account < 5% of total assets
- Sounds like capital standards from before Basel I. Ironic!
- Result of the Economic Growth, Regulatory Relief and Consumer Protection Act of 2018 for “community banks” (assets < \$10 bn.)

Why do smaller banks require less regulation?

- What is the goal of prudential regulation?
 - Prevent systemic risk
 - Threat to financial markets and/or to government solvency.
 - Even one large bank's failure can endanger these markets and solvency
 - Therefore need to control risk-taking at largest banks.
 - Protect the insurance fund or the public purse
 - Same reason to examine largest banks carefully
 - The methods for resolving bank failures work much better for small banks than it does for large ones.
 - This is probably more true for the US than for Europe.

Conclusions

- Small banks do indeed provide different services from large ones.
- Bruno and Murano have investigated an important question related to the optimal scale of banks.
- Yet it is hard to compare banks of very different sizes.
- The US argument for lighter regulation of smaller banks recognizes
 - the burden (fixed costs) of “full” Basel compliance vs.
 - the need for such compliance.